

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

# PCT

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

To:  
STEVEN J. WIETRZNY  
QUARLES & BRADY LLP  
411 E. WISCONSIN AVENUE  
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202

Date of mailing  
(day/month/year) **11 JAN 2006**

Applicant's or agent's file reference

**FOR FURTHER ACTION**

See paragraph 2 below

380201.00002

International application No.

International filing date (day/month/year)

Priority date (day/month/year)

PCT/US04/25510

06 August 2004 (06.08.2004)

08 August 2003 (08.08.2003)

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC

IPC(7): B66F 3/00 and US Cl.: 254/134.3FT

Applicant

**ACTUANT CORPORATION**

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

### 2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US

Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201

Date of completion of this  
opinion

23 December 2005 (23.12.2005)

Authorized officer

LEE D. WILSON

Telephone No. 571-272-0000

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.

PCT/US04/25510

**Box No. I Basis of this opinion**

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

- ☒ the international application in the language in which it was filed
- ☐ a translation of the international application into \_\_\_\_\_, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

- ☐ a sequence listing
- ☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

- ☐ on paper
- ☐ in electronic form

c. time of filing/furnishing

- ☐ contained in the international application as filed.
- ☐ filed together with the international application in electronic form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.  
PCT/US04/25510

**Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Claims <u>5, 8-9, 11-18, 20-21, 22-32</u>	YES
	Claims <u>1-4, 6-7, 10, 19</u>	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims <u>5, 8-9, 15-18, 20-21, 23-32</u>	YES
	Claims <u>1-4, 6-7, 10-14, 19, and 22</u>	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims <u>1-32</u>	YES
	Claims <u>NONE</u>	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-4, 6-7, 10, and 19 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Briggs (2743884). Briggs discloses a fish tape having a housing (fig.1), a handle member (26), and a fish tape (18).

Claims 11-14 and 22 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Briggs (2743884) in view of Cielker et al (5810277). Briggs discloses the claimed invention except for housing being in two parts and a handle grip being adjacent to the central opening. Cielker et al discloses a fish tape having a housing in two parts (8) and handle grip (33) adjacent the central opening (which area around 34) which allows the fish tape to be assembled and supported. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the Briggs device by providing a housing in two parts and a frame around the housing as taught by Cielker et al which allows the fish tape to be assembled and supported.

Claims 5, 8-9, 15-18, 20-21, and 23-32 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the limitations recited in claims 5, 8-9, 15-18, 20-21, and 23-32.

Claims 1-32 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus the invention has industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.